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Sexual minorities in long-term care and assisted living facilities fear discrimination and suboptimal care due to homophobia and heteronormative policies. This qualitative study explored the attitudes of 13 heterosexual assisted living facility residents toward gay and lesbian peers in their facility. Although most participants reported that they were comfortable talking about homosexuality, their feelings, beliefs, and behaviors toward gay and lesbian residents ranged from favorable, to ambivalent, to unfavorable. Results indicated that heterosexual residents still view sexual minorities as “others” who are unlikely to inhabit the same spaces they do. Recommendations for further research are provided in order to ameliorate the conditions of aged care facilities for all residents, including sexual minorities.
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With an increasing aging population, estimates predict that there may be as many as two to seven million lesbian, gay, and bisexual (LGB)
Americans over the age of 65 (Grant, Koskovich, Frazer, & Bjerk, 2010). Unfortunately, many of these older LGB adults will face obstacles in accessing LGB-affirmative social services, health care, and housing, largely due to heteronormative and homophobic policies and attitudes that exist in greater society (Addis, Davies, Greene, MacBride-Stewart, & Shepherd, 2009). Given these challenges, understanding how heterosexual residents in a setting such as an assisted living facility (ALF) feel and behave toward their gay and lesbian peers may be an important part of understanding the environment inhabited by gay and lesbian residents, and is a step toward the vision of making ALFs more welcoming and affirming to sexual minorities.

The present study approached the unique needs of gay and lesbian older adults by examining the attitudes of heterosexual ALF residents toward their gay and lesbian peers. It should be noted that the present study only examined attitudes toward the participants’ gay and lesbian peers, rather than including lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) individuals. Given that emerging research (e.g., Israel & Mohr, 2004; MetLife, 2010) has pointed to the experiences of those who identify as bisexual being different from those of gay and lesbian-identified individuals, questions relating to bisexuality were not included. In addition, because the goal was to focus on sexual orientation, rather than gender identity, in an attempt to reduce any possible confusion, questions relating to transgender adults were also not incorporated into the present study.

OLDER LGB ADULTS AND CARE SERVICES

Even with the growing numbers of older LGB adults, the majority of aging and LGB-focused research continues to follow the path of the last four decades, with scholarship targeting the experiences of younger LGB individuals and issues and primarily addressed in relation to HIV/AIDS (Hash & Cramer, 2003). This disregard of late-life issues for sexual minorities has often led to older LGB adults to be referred to as an “invisible population” (Butler, 2004; Hash & Cramer, 2003; Hughes, 2007).

When research does focus on an older LGB population, concerns surrounding health care, housing, and long-term care (Smith, McCaslin, Chang, Martinez, & McGrew, 2010; Stein, Beckerman, & Sherman, 2010; Quam & Whitford, 1992) emerge. These concerns underscore the relevance of past and present discrimination to older gay and lesbian people, the invisibility of that population, and the need for social services that welcome sexual minorities (Brotman, Ryan, & Cormier, 2003).

As is common with advancing age, older LGB people may find that they require services provided in ALFs and long-term care facilities because they have increased difficulty taking care of their daily needs. Many older LGB adults have indicated that they would prefer LGB-friendly or LGB-specific
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housing facilities (Grant et al., 2010; Hamburger, 1997; Stein, Beckerman, & Sherman, 2010); however, such facilities are sparse and difficult to locate, resulting in many individuals entering the facilities and communities that are geographically or economically available to them (Grant et al., 2010).

Unlike their heterosexual peers, older LGB adults encounter some unique issues in long-term care and ALF settings (Shankle, Maxwell, Katzman, & Landers, 2003). When entering a facility, LGB residents who have been “out” for a large portion of their lives may feel the need to go “back in the closet” for fear of being mistreated and denied care (Brotman, Ryan, & Cormier, 2003; Grant et al., 2010; Johnson, Jackson, Arnette, & Koffman, 2005; National Senior Citizens Law Center, 2011; Quam & Whitford, 1992; Shankle et al., 2003; Stein et al., 2010). This is concerning, since nondisclosure of sexual orientation has been negatively associated with the quality of health care provided (Stein & Bonuck, 2001; see Dean et al., 2000, for an extensive discussion).

There is evidence that older LGB adults anticipate discrimination in care facility settings (Jackson, Johnson, & Roberts, 2008; Quam & Whitford, 1992). The majority of older gay and lesbian adults indicate that they fear discrimination in care facilities, with approximately one third saying they are willing to hide their sexual orientation as a result (Johnson et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2010). Another significant concern is not being able to remain with their partner after entering an ALF or long-term care facility (Smith et al., 2010).

Research has shown that the fears of older LGB adults are justified (Cahill, South, & Spade, 2000; Cook-Daniels, 1997; Hash & Cramer, 2005). Documented discrimination in long-term care settings has included verbal or physical harassment by residents and facility staff, as well as discriminatory admission and discharge policies (Johnson et al., 2005; National Senior Citizens Law Center, 2011). If partnered, they may experience lack of support and the misunderstanding of peers when a partner visits (Hash & Cramer, 2005). These fears may partly be due to their lived experience of victimization throughout their lives based on their sexual orientation. Two-thirds of older LGB respondents surveyed reported encountering verbal abuse, one quarter reported threats of violence, and 16% reported actual physical attacks during their lifetime (D’Augelli & Grossman, 2001).

HETERONORMATIVE ASPECTS OF ASSISTED LIVING FACILITIES

Even if they do not experience overt discriminatory behavior, many LGB older adults and their caregivers face heteronormative and homophobic policies in care facilities (Hash & Cramer, 2005; Tolley & Ranzijn, 2006). The term “heteronormativity” originated in one of the seminal works of early Queer Theory by Warner (1991), and refers to the attitudes, systems, and
practices that uphold heterosexual privilege and promote heterosexuality as the norm with which sexual identities are compared (Tolley & Ranzijn, 2006). Homophobia refers to “feelings or actions based on hatred, aversion or fear of same-sex attraction and sexual behavior among lesbian, gay or bisexual people” (Grant et al., 2010, p. 13).

Homophobic attitudes and heteronormative assumptions, both on an individual and institutional level, maintain status differences between heterosexuals and non-heterosexuals, and they are directly related to many of the fears and challenges older LGB adults face in care facilities (Stein, Beckerman, & Sherman, 2010). Even if individuals living with and serving older LGB adults are not overtly homophobic, if at all, they are likely heteronormative in that they rely on the default assumption that the individuals they serve are heterosexual (Elia, 2003; Jackson, 2006). As a result, staff or fellow residents may inquire about their “spouse” or grandchildren, with these assumptions signifying a possible lack of awareness of gay and lesbian individuals and the ways they might differ from heterosexual peers. Furthermore, caregiving partners may face limited access to their loved one, or be denied access altogether, because of facility policies as well as health care regulations and limitations such as visitation rights, confidentiality requirements, or privacy rules related to health protected information (Grant et al., 2010). Some caregivers of LGB older adults have experienced hostility in care facilities, whereas others anticipate it and prepare accordingly, perhaps by hiding the nature of their relationship or drafting advance directives (Hash & Cramer, 2005).

Because of the heteronormative and homophobic attitudes, policies, and behaviors they face, older LGB people are at greater risk for being marginalized in a care facility, whether by staff or other residents (Shankle et al., 2003). This may result in sexual minority residents feeling socially isolated and lonely, which are conditions associated with poorer physical health, mental health, and well-being (Cacioppo, Hughes, Waite, Hawkley, & Trusted, 2006; Cornwell & Waite, 2009; Thompson & Heller, 1990).

HETEROSEXUAL ATTITUDES TOWARD LGB INDIVIDUALS

Attitudes are conventionally described as evaluations of an entity of some kind, ranging from unfavorable to favorable (Eagly & Chaiken, 1993). Furthermore, an assessment of attitudes must consider cognitive, affective, and behavioral elements that are associated with attitude formation and change. It must be noted that attitudes are conceptualized as being separate from but interacting with feelings, thoughts, and behaviors (Albarracin, Zanna, Johnson, & Kumkale, 2005), and it is from this perspective that the present study approaches the attitudes of the participants.
Existing research exploring the attitudes of heterosexual individuals toward LGB individuals has tended to be quantitative, and has targeted younger or undifferentiated populations. Overall, heterosexual men have been shown to express more negative attitudes toward gay and lesbian individuals than heterosexual women, and attitudes are generally more negative toward gay men than toward lesbians (Herek, 2000). Over the past three decades, prejudicial attitudes toward gay and lesbian people have become less negative, yet recent estimates of homophobic attitudes still range from 49% to 57% (Herek, 2007).

Examples of studies on attitudes toward gay men and lesbians have focused on college students (Korfhage, 2006; Mohipp & Morry, 2004; Newman, Dannenfelser, & Benishek, 2002; Whitley, 2002), attitudes of college students toward gay male couples who adopt children (McLeod, Crawford, & Zechmeister, 1999), attitudes of staff and administrators toward gay and lesbian patients with intellectual disabilities (Abbott & Howarth, 2007), and attitudes of heterosexual women toward lesbians (Wilkinson, 2006). Although the specific outcomes of these studies tend to vary, results have consistently demonstrated that factors such as stereotypes, previous contact with gay and lesbians individuals, religiosity, gender, and gender ideology were significant predictors of attitudes toward gay and lesbian people.

Even today, there is a paucity of research on attitudes of heterosexuals toward gay and lesbian older adults. Several studies have explored stereotypes of older gay and lesbian individuals (Wright & Canetto, 2009) and long-term care staff perceptions of same-sex sexual behavior (Hinrichs & Vacha-Haase, 2010). Asta (2008) explored the attitudes of heterosexual long-term care and ALF residents toward gay and lesbian peers. Results from the study showed that residents’ level of previous interaction with sexual minorities influenced their awareness of and attitudes toward gay and lesbian people. Religion was also identified as a factor influencing the attitudes of the participants. Residents were generally uncomfortable when discussing their attitudes toward homosexuality and same-sex relationships, and they emphasized the negative social implications for being gay or lesbian, such as marginalization and changes in family structure.

Although Asta (2008) identified important themes in the attitudes of heterosexual older adults in long-term care and ALFs toward their gay and lesbian peers, her results offered only an identification that factors such as previous interaction and religious affiliation were issues acknowledged by heterosexual residents. Thus, questions emerged regarding the way these factors might directly influence residents’ thoughts, feelings, and behaviors toward gay and lesbian residents in their facilities. Understanding this would be useful in knowing what could be done to help ALF residents become more comfortable and affirming to gay and lesbian peers in their facilities.
PRESENT STUDY

The purpose of the present study was to understand how heterosexual ALF residents might feel and act toward gay and lesbian peers in their facilities, and to explore the role of previously identified factors in attitudes toward gay and lesbian people. The following research questions guided the inquiry of this study (see Appendix for specific questions):

1. How do heterosexual assisted living residents react to the idea of gay men and lesbians in their facility?
2. What is the role of previously identified factors (knowledge of and interaction with gay men and lesbians, and religion) in their feelings toward gay men and lesbians in their facility?

Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis was selected as the appropriate method to explore the identified research questions. Similar to other phenomenological approaches, Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis focuses on exploring and describing the experiences of participants through examining rich descriptions of those experiences. However, using an interpretative approach to data analysis accepts that the researcher can never fully access the full context and experience of participants, and that the researcher cannot help but interpret data through the lens of her or his own experience and context (Willig, 2001).

Researcher

The primary researcher was a 26-year-old, European-American gay male who is a doctoral student in counseling psychology. In addition, a research team that consisted of other counseling psychology doctoral students interested in older adult research issues, as well as an experienced licensed psychologist who specializes in geropsychology, aided in the development of the project and the analysis of the data.

METHOD

Thirteen self-identified heterosexual residents from an ALF in northern Colorado were interviewed for the purposes of this study (see Table 1 for complete demographic information). Eight women and five men participated in the study. The age of participants ranged from 62 to 90 years ($M = 80.23$, $SD = 8.15$). All participants were White and European American with the exception of one man who identified as Asian American. In terms of religious affiliation, participants generally identified with various Christian
TABLE 1 Demographic Information of Interviewed Assisted Living Facility Residents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Religious Affiliation</th>
<th>Employment</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Relationship Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Forest Service</td>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>Divorced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Methodist</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>Professional degree</td>
<td>Widowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Radio group manager</td>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>Divorced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Methodist</td>
<td>Salvation Army/Auto Parts store</td>
<td>High school (10th grade)</td>
<td>Divorced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Believes in God</td>
<td>Ceramic tile vendor/Hospice care</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>Widowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Special Education teacher</td>
<td>Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>Divorced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Protestant</td>
<td>Electronics factory worker</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>Widowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Homemaker</td>
<td>High school (11th grade)</td>
<td>Widowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Methodist</td>
<td>Auto factory inspector</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>Widowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Mormon</td>
<td>Probation Department</td>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>Divorced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Presbyterian</td>
<td>Homemaker</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>Widowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Baptist</td>
<td>Supermarket owner</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>Divorced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Episcopalian</td>
<td>Homemaker</td>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>Widowed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. For sex, M = male, F = female; for ethnicity, EA = European American, AA = Asian American.
were selected using purposive sampling, meaning that they were selected based on their relevance to the research questions (Smith & Osborn, 2003). Attention was given to the diversity of the sample in terms of gender, age, ability, education, race, and ethnicity.

Semi-Structured Interview

Data were collected using a semi-structured interview format, with the intention of eliciting rich descriptions of participants’ experience with the phenomenon of interest. The results of Asta’s (2008) study served as the primary guiding theory for the present study; thus, the research questions and interview schedule were written with those in mind. Interview questions were intended to highlight general attitudes toward gay and lesbian peers. Follow-up questions focusing on how participants felt they and their peers could become more comfortable with gay and lesbian fellow residents were also included. All interviews were conducted by the primary researcher, and lasted approximately 10 to 40 minutes each. The researcher collected demographic information using a separate form.

Qualitative Data Analysis

Interviews were each digitally recorded, transcribed, and then analyzed for thematic content following procedures consistent with Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (Willig, 2001). The purpose of the analysis was to identify units of meaning in individual interviews, and to pull out themes that reflect the essence of the experiences described (Wertz, 2005).

The first stage of analysis consisted of reading and re-reading the individual transcribed interviews. Based on those readings, the researcher made wide-ranging and unfocused notes reflecting initial observations and thoughts of the researcher upon the first encounter with the data (Willig, 2001). In the second stage, the researcher identified themes that occurred throughout the text. Themes are intended to provide a conceptual understanding of the data and can be presented in psychological terms (Willig, 2001). The third stage involved grouping themes generated in stage two into broader categories of meaning. Themes from individual texts were listed, and then grouped according to the ways they relate to one another, be it through meaning, reference, or a hierarchical relationship (Willig, 2001). The fourth stage consisted of producing a summary table of the theme clusters, including direct quotes from the text itself. At that point, themes from stage two that did not relate to the research questions were excluded, and themes that did not fit well in a specific category were not retained, depending on the interest and intent of the researcher (Willig, 2001).

When integrating several cases, themes from individual cases were grouped into master themes that were intended to represent the essence
of the shared experience of participants relating to the phenomenon of interest. The results of integration were mapped out in a summary table, listing theme clusters as parts of the master themes. During the integration of theme clusters into master themes across cases, it became necessary to refer to the original text of the interviews, which resulted in some theme clusters being subsumed by others, thus resulting in more distinct master themes.

**Saturation**

Saturation refers to the point in data collection and analysis when there is no new information gained for a category as more data are collected (Creswell, 2007). For the present study, this meant that additional interviews were conducted until the analysis yielded no new information in response to the research questions (Bowen, 2008).

**Trustworthiness**

Several approaches addressing trustworthiness were employed in order to ensure the quality and rigor of the study. Although Creswell (2007) recommended using at least two strategies to confidently and adequately address the issue of trustworthiness, four were included in the methodology of the present study.

Consistent with the phenomenological approach, the researcher bracketed his personal experiences and biases in order to be clear about his intention in the study and the assumptions he might bring into it. The researcher’s paradigms, beliefs, and intent have been made clear from the inception of the study, and were made explicit throughout the course of the research project. The primary researcher also engaged in reflexivity (Creswell, 2007; Morrow, 2005) by keeping a research journal that included hypotheses, questions, concerns, additions, philosophical musings, methodological considerations, and other topics related to the process of conducting the research.

Peer consultation was utilized during data analysis to aid in verifying conclusions, generating alternative interpretations, and monitoring researcher bias (Creswell, 2007; Morrow, 2005). Research team members provided feedback on themes and subthemes generated by the analysis process, shared alternative views on what the data indicated, and confirmed the final conclusions that were drawn by the primary researcher.

Thick description was used to enhance the reliability and transferability of the research results (Creswell, 2007). The written transcripts of the digitally recorded interviews in this study provided a thick description of the participants’ words, including any pauses or non-verbal communication that occurred during the interviews.
RESULTS

Master Themes and Subthemes

The analysis resulted in eight master themes representing the attitudes of the heterosexual ALF residents who were interviewed (see Table 2). The themes include the feelings, reactions, experiences, and explanations that the participants provided, and all eight themes identified were present in 70% or more of the participants’ narratives. The first research question guiding the present study focused on how heterosexual ALF residents reacted to the idea of gay and lesbian people living in their facilities, and themes 1 through 3 related best to that question. Themes 4 through 8 answered the second research question, as to how previously identified factors play a role in their reactions to gay and lesbian ALF residents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2</th>
<th>Themes and Subthemes for Heterosexual Assisted Living Facility (ALF) Residents’ Attitudes Toward Gay/Lesbian Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Presence of Gay/Lesbian Residents in ALFs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Gay and lesbian residents are unlikely to live in an ALF.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. ALF residents are unaware of the sexual orientation of their peers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Reactions to Gay/Lesbian ALF Residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Heterosexual ALF residents are accepting and non-accepting of gay and lesbian residents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Gay and lesbian residents should respect boundaries of privacy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Pushing one’s sexuality on others is wrong.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Many heterosexual ALF residents are comfortable coexisting with differences.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Peer Attitudes Toward Gay/Lesbian Residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Other ALF residents are probably homophobic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. ALF residents interact with one another infrequently.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Homosexuality is an uncommon topic in the lives of ALF residents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. General Attitude Toward Homosexuality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Most residents are comfortable talking about homosexuality.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Residents hold both favorable and unfavorable views of homosexuality.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. ALF residents described their common humanity with gay and lesbian people.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Residents' favorable and unfavorable attitudes were stable over time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Experience of ALF Residents with Gay/Lesbian People</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Most ALF residents had some experience with gay men and lesbians.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Experiences with gay men and lesbians varied from positive to negative, personal to impersonal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Factors in Residents’ Attitude Formation and Change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Homosexuality is more accepted in today’s society.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Attitudes toward homosexuality become more accepting with age.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Residents’ global moral beliefs and values influence their attitude toward gay men and lesbians.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Residents’ Knowledge of Homosexuality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. ALF residents claim little knowledge of homosexuality.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. ALF residents tend to believe homosexuality is not a choice.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Religion and Homosexuality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Religious beliefs influence residents’ feelings about homosexuality.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. All participants (n = 13) were represented in themes 2, 3, 4, and 5. A majority (n > 9) of participants was represented in themes 1, 6, 7, and 8.
Theme 1: Presence of gay and lesbian residents in ALFs

When asked about the possibility of having gay and lesbian residents in their facility, participants expressed a range of feelings about their existence, from doubt that there would be such residents in their facility, to feeling that there probably were gay and lesbian residents living among them. An 88-year-old woman summarized, “I got a feeling that there are some...but I don’t know... (chuckled) and I’m not going to try to find out.” Other participants who suspected that gay and lesbian people lived among them said that they would probably not find out about them. One woman shared that those she suspected were “kind of quiet, and that’s good.” Still others believed that gay and lesbian people would not be allowed in the facility, as one resident said, “In the first place, I don’t think they’d let ‘em in. I’m afraid they wouldn’t. I think they would ask us first...I really feel like that they wouldn’t—They wouldn’t live here” (86-year-old woman). Another aspect of thinking about gay and lesbian residents in their facility was by considering the sexual orientation of other residents. On this point, participants tended to say that they either did not want to know others’ sexual orientation, or that it would simply never come up because they would not ask about it directly. For example, one resident said, “We got some odd people, but we don’t say, ‘Are you gay?’ They wouldn’t know what I was talking about” (90-year-old woman). An 82-year-old man said, “The sexualities or orientations of my neighbors has never been a factor, has never been important for me to either want to know.”

Theme 2: Reactions to gay and lesbian ALF residents

Participants also shared the ways they might react toward gay and lesbian residents. In the case that they had actually known a gay or lesbian ALF resident, they shared how they did react. A first way to understand their reactions seemed to be in the terms of acceptance and nonacceptance of sexual minority residents. Some residents stated that they would not treat gay and lesbian people differently than heterosexual peers, saying that they are “just two more human beings” (82-year-old man). On the other hand, other residents indicated that they would be reticent to engage a fellow resident they knew was gay or lesbian. A 78-year-old woman identified, “I would be shocked, you know...to think I knew somebody here that was. I wouldn’t say hello. You know, I wouldn’t be mean to [a lesbian resident].” A 70-year-old man spoke of having little choice in encountering gay and lesbian people because of the nature of the facility, and said, “we just have to tolerate it.” At times, residents were not sure why they would have the reaction they thought.

It’s okay with me. I just would stay away. That’s all. I mean, I wouldn’t associate with ‘em...I don’t know if I would be friendly with ‘em.
I don’t think I would, and don’t ask me why because I’m not sure. (88-year-old woman).

Many times, participants stated that they were not bothered by the presence of gay and lesbian residents, as long as they were not roommates with them. Participants also highlighted the need for boundaries and privacy, saying that they felt comfortable with the idea of gay and lesbian residents as long as there were appropriate boundaries and the necessary privacy around romantic and sexual behavior.

A related idea that many residents shared was the feeling that gay and lesbian individuals should not push their sexuality on others. These reactions involved images of sexual minorities “shoving” their sexuality on others, or “throwing it in their face” (78-year-old woman). Finally, participants described their ability to coexist with difference. This attitude could be described by the phrase “live and let live,” which some participants used directly. Residents with these sentiments did not feel that they would be affected in any way by living with gay and lesbian people, and they believed that “everybody has their own way of doing things” (80-year-old woman).

**Theme 3: Peer Attitudes Toward Gay and Lesbian Residents**

When asked how they felt other residents in their facility would feel or react toward gay and lesbian peers, the majority said that other residents would have negative feelings and reactions. Interviewed residents felt that their heterosexual peers would not be accepting, and even be “horrified” (62-year-old man) at the idea of living with gay and lesbian people. Many also expressed anger as a result of others’ negative feelings, calling it a “lack of compassion” (82-year-old woman). One woman reported that she had previously known a lesbian in the facility, and described others’ reactions and how they impacted her:

She wasn’t easy to get close to. She was very deaf, and, uh—I couldn’t get close to her. Nobody did. Now, this was something I was amazed at. People warned me about her. And that was a shock to me, and, uh, I said, “Why? Why? What, uh…” Just because she was gay, lesbian. (89-year-old woman)

Many residents interviewed said that they could only guess at how other residents would actually feel and react because they did not interact very much with their peers. Several residents interviewed said that they kept to themselves, and that when they did socialize with other residents, they did not talk about sexuality or sexual orientation. One 90-year-old woman noted, “We never talk about things like that, and we sit around, and we eat dinner together.”
Moreover, the majority of participants reported that homosexuality is an uncommon topic in their lives. Some said that there were “more important things to think and talk about” (89-year-old woman). Others said the topic was never “brought up” (82-year-old man). Even when participants suspected that someone they knew was gay or lesbian, they often said that they would never broach the topic with that person or with other residents.

**Theme 4: General Attitude Toward Homosexuality**

All participants but one (78-year-old woman) reported that they were comfortable talking about the topic of homosexuality, regardless of their beliefs or attitude. Most often, they said that they were “not bothered” by the topic of the interview. In that sentiment, one 82-year-old man said, “It would be the same as you would be talking about, talking about the weather or about football or about movies or whatever.” Participants described their general feelings about the topic of homosexuality, and expressed a range of opinions. Some participants felt that homosexuality was “natural” and “normal,” whereas other participants stated that homosexuality was bad for society, that it was unimportant, or that they did not “approve of” or “like” it. One resident said, “There are more important things to think about and talk about” (89-year-old woman). A 70-year-old man said, “It’s, uh, so well covered now that we’re going to go to Hell in a handbasket [sighed].”

Many participants shared positive feelings toward gay and lesbian people, saying that they are “nice people” (80-year-old woman), and that they felt sorry for them because of the prejudice they encountered. One woman was able to acknowledge that gay and lesbian individuals are not all the same, and that some might receive different reactions than others. Participants also expressed a belief of common humanity, emphasizing that gay and lesbian people are fellow human beings, who therefore deserve respect. They also indicated that they saw no difference between heterosexual people and gay and lesbian individuals. As one 89-year-old woman said, “We’re all alive together as a family.” Several residents indicated that their attitude had been stable over their lifetime, saying that they had always felt the same way about gay and lesbian people, and planned to always have the same feelings. They believed nothing would influence their opinion, being “set in [their] ways” (70-year-old man).

**Theme 5: Experience of ALF Residents with Gay/Lesbian People**

All residents who were interviewed had some experience with or exposure to gay and lesbian people during their lifetime. However, participants described a range of experience in terms of both the amount and quality of experiences with gay and lesbian individuals. Many participants described
positive experiences they had had with gay and lesbian individuals, including friends, co-workers, family members, and even staff at the ALF. Several participants had gay family members, whether siblings, children, or other relatives. It seemed that these relationships were generally positive, even when the resident’s overall view of homosexuality was less positive.

Some participants shared negative experiences they had had with gay or lesbian people. Several participants had both positive and negative experiences, or experiences that were uncomfortable at first but that became more positive over time. One woman described feeling harassed by a nurse who she believed was a lesbian. Another resident shared an experience where her gay brother and his partner came to live with her for some time.

One final aspect of participants’ experience with gay and lesbian people was their early experiences with homosexuality. One woman described an experience in her youth where she believed a female friend was flirting with her. Another woman noted that she might have known some “queer” people in high school. An 82-year-old man, in particular, shared several experiences from his early life where men propositioned him for sex.

Other participants had limited or no experience with gay and lesbian people. One man indicated that he intentionally avoided gay and lesbian individuals, thus resulting in limited experience with them.

I try not to know anybody at all, but you blunder, you blunder—in day-to-day life you run across somebody who’s gay. . . . I’ve been pretty separate from a lot of things; that’s one of ‘em. . . . I just don’t, uh, choose to, uh, associate with those people. (70-year-old man)

Other participants mentioned that they had been exposed to gay and lesbian individuals through the media, even when they did not have any personal experiences. Often, their first exposure to homosexuality was through media such as news, books, or television.

Theme 6: Factors in residents’ attitude formation and change

Participants shared several factors that they believed were significant in forming their initial attitudes about gay and lesbian people. They also identified factors that served in changing their perceptions and beliefs about homosexuality. Participants reported that one of the most common reasons for their shifting attitudes toward gay and lesbian people was the general increase in acceptance of homosexuality in society.

The whole attitude of society has changed during those years. Um . . . people got more educated, they got more exposed, and they become comfortable with something that is not a horrible thing. It’s a very natural thing and it’s a very . . . um . . . obviously ok thing. So I just went along with society. (72-year-old woman)
Other participants pointed to age as a factor in being both more and less accepting of gay and lesbian people.

I’ve lived many years, and, by now, you’re comfortable with most everything . . . I’m just happy to be, uh, waking up each morning and meeting whoever it is to meet and what they are with me. (89-year-old woman)

Another resident described the ways her attitudes have changed over the years:

I’m 72, so when I was a teenager that’s quite a while ago. And this topic has developed through the years from the time I was a teenager. Back then homosexuals had naughty connotations. They were looked down on, they were feared, they were not wanted, and that’s how I started out. So I had to grow and develop from that point to where I am now. So it’s a little foggy for me because the kids today have no problem with their—they can answer questions about homosexuals, they can think about ‘em, they can be in their company completely relaxed. That’s not the case for a person that is 72. (72-year-old woman)

Participants also identified global moral beliefs or value orientations that contributed to the way they viewed other people, including gay and lesbian people. These beliefs included valuing equality of all people, positive views of others, and anti-prejudice feelings. Some spoke of the relative importance of sexual orientation when considered with other aspects of people. One resident said, “There are so many more important things in relationships with people and life” (89-year-old woman). Another resident exhibited a relativistic point of view, when she said, “Everybody’s got their own way of thinking and living. You live your life the way you want to live it, not the way I or anybody else thinks that you should” (88-year-old woman).

Theme 7: Residents’ knowledge of homosexuality

Several participants claimed no knowledge or understanding of homosexuality and the lives of gay and lesbian people. However, many participants expressed some ideas on the apparent cause of homosexuality. These opinions clustered around the beliefs that homosexuality is a choice, or that homosexuality is an innate characteristic. Among the participants interviewed, a greater number sided with the “born that way” belief. Other residents compared being gay or lesbian to having a health condition or a congenital disability.

Regardless, I mean, you might have TB or something, or you might have another serious disease, but homosexuality is made up of people that you
were born with and you couldn’t help that. I mean, there’s something
that goes wrong. You get a little more female, don’t you? I don’t know
for sure. (86-year-old woman).

Still, many participants also reported that they believed homosexuality
was a choice. Some hypothesized that people were converted to
homosexuality, while others believed that it was simply a preference on
the part of those individuals.

I think that’s choice more than anything. It isn’t something forced on.
I think they just have made up their mind. That’s, uh, how they want
to be, and that’s it. And if, uh—very seldom can you change ‘em if they
make up their mind to that. You’re not going to change ‘em. (80-year-old
woman)

THEME 8: RELIGION AND HOMOSEXUALITY

Participants were asked directly what role their religious or spiritual beliefs
played in their feelings toward gay and lesbian people. This elicited a range
of answers, from favorable to unfavorable religious attitudes. Some said their
beliefs would “embrace homosexuality” (86-year-old woman, Presbyterian),
and others said that gay and lesbian people would “burn in Hell” (70-year-
old man, Mormon). Others held to what they had read in the Bible or heard
in their religious services regarding homosexuality.

Some participants also expressed feelings that religion was irrelevant to
homosexuality and how they perceive gay and lesbian people. They had a
difficult time describing how religion played into their feelings at all, saying
that they “haven’t really thought about it” (62-year-old man, nonreligious).
Finally, some participants commented on the homophobia they perceived in
religious institutions.

DISCUSSION

The present study sought to explore and understand the ways that hetero-
sexual ALF residents react to the idea of gay and lesbian people living in
their facility, and how factors that have been identified in previous research
play a role in the way they might relate to gay and lesbian residents. Overall,
a range of opinions on the topic of homosexuality emerged from the inter-
views in this study. The results seem to indicate that the attitudes gay and
lesbian residents would encounter in assisted living facilities would include
everything from nonacceptance, to indifference, to acceptance. Factors such
as experience with gay and lesbian individuals, religious beliefs, age, societal
views, and personal values appeared to be important in the formation of their
attitudes.
The findings appear to reflect that gay and lesbian residents are still very much “the other” in an ALF setting, and sexual orientation did not seem to be a salient concept among the residents who were interviewed. Heterosexual residents seem to believe that gay and lesbian residents would either not be admitted to the facility, or would not disclose their sexual orientation if they were living in the facility. Residents tended to note that the topic of homosexuality rarely came up in conversation, which many seemed to think was desirable. Finally, no matter their own attitude toward sexual minorities, residents tended to believe that other residents in the facility would be homophobic, and that it would be difficult for gay and lesbian people to live in their facility. These results appear to demonstrate the presence of homophobia and heteronormativity among residents in ALF settings.

The interviews revealed some ways that residents would interact with gay and lesbian peers in the facility. The majority of participants said that they would not be “bothered” by having gay and lesbian people in their facility, but were very clear about defining appropriate behavior and describing boundaries that would help them feel safer. This may reflect a heterosexist bias that would not exist if those residents were talking about a heterosexual resident or opposite-sex couple. Even within some interviews, participants seemed to vacillate between an accepting stance and fears about interacting with gay and lesbian residents. At times, it was difficult to understand how residents would actually behave due to their ambivalent responses.

The finding that some residents would avoid or restrict interaction with gay and lesbian people in their facility underscores the importance of this study, since research on social isolation points to negative physical and mental health outcomes when people in care settings are isolated from their peers (Cacioppo et al., 2006; Cornwell & Waite, 2009; Thompson & Heller, 1990). Residents indicated they would not view or treat gay and lesbian residents differently. Unfortunately it is unclear as to if this means they would interact with these residents in a positive or negative manner. However, it does suggest that they would not make an effort to reach out toward sexual minority residents, as the majority of participants said they did not interact much with even their heterosexual peers. Thus, the level of social engagement might be just as low or lower for gay and lesbian residents.

In looking at how specific demographic and personal variables may have influenced participants’ responses, some potential trends seemed to emerge. Residents’ religiosity appeared to have an influence on the way they thought about homosexuality, with a majority of religious participants describing ambivalent or unfavorable attitudes toward gay men and lesbians. Attitudes did not seem to vary with participants’ age, but regardless of age, participants tended to think that their peers would have more negative attitudes. The majority of male residents in the study described favorable attitudes toward sexual minorities, whereas female residents equally described favorable, unfavorable, and ambivalent attitudes. This finding is contrary to
research on attitudes toward homosexuality that shows men having more unfavorable attitudes than women, and therefore may be a result of sampling bias (Herek, 2000). There were no noticeable trends in participants’ attitudes based on education or employment.

Another significant aspect of this study was the way that participants seemed to experience the interview process. Many residents indicated how they were being affected by talking about the topic of homosexuality. Despite saying they were comfortable talking about homosexuality, some residents said that they were becoming nervous during the interview. Others became angry because of the injustices they saw toward sexual minorities, and some said that they were going to continue to reflect on this topic and explore it through personal writing. A few participants became tearful when describing tender personal experiences. Finally, a few residents appeared concerned that their responses were in some way inadequate, and apologized throughout the interview, minimizing their knowledge and experiences. This range of affective responses to the interview process appeared to mirror the range of attitudes found among the residents who were interviewed.

Limitations

The present study was subject to several limitations, which may impact the transferability of the results. First, the diversity of the sample was limited to that of a small city in northern Colorado, in which the majority of residents are White, non-Hispanic. Thus, in interpreting these results, readers should be mindful of the characteristics of participants when making judgments about their applicability, as the present sample may not be representative of all residents living in ALFs.

Although aspects such as gender, race, ethnicity, education, and age were considered during sampling and data analysis, other variables were uncovered that should be explored further, such as the amount of time residents had lived in the facility, their cognitive ability, and factors related to the facility itself. However, the participants in the present study appeared to be typical of the facility they lived in, and of the community in which the facility was situated. For that reason, the sample may represent the people that gay and lesbian residents in the area would encounter in such a facility.

Participants were a volunteer sample, and thus residents who were willing to be interviewed may be different in some ways from those who refused. Although some participants displayed unfavorable attitudes, many residents who were approached for participation in the study refused because of the nature of the topic. This may have led to a bias among the sample of residents interviewed, whereby they were more comfortable with the topic and generally more accepting of gay and lesbian people.
Future Directions

The results of the present study must be interpreted within the context of generational cohort, with acknowledgement of the current state of opinion toward homosexuality in the United States. Over the past four decades, opinions on the morality of homosexuality have become more favorable toward sexual minorities, and willingness to restrict the civil liberties of gay and lesbian people has decreased. For the first time, in the summer of 2011, several national surveys showed that more than half of Americans favor same-sex marriage, indicating a significant shift in general attitudes toward sexual minorities (Public Religion Research Institute, 2011). At this time, there is still a significant gap between the attitudes of adults age 65 and older and those in the so-called “Millennial Generation” (age 18 to 29 years) on public policies favoring gay and lesbian people (Public Religion Research Institute, 2011). Based on these results, it appears that older adults tend to have less favorable attitudes toward homosexuality than younger generations, but that attitudes have generally become more favorable over time, across generations. The attitudes of the older adults in the present study seem to represent both of these trends, leading to more questions than answers.

It is clear that more research should be done to further explore the meaning of these results, and to continue to promote affirmative attitudes and practices toward sexual minorities in an ALF setting. As this study explored somewhat novel questions, it appeared reasonable to maintain the narrower and more approachable focus on gay men and lesbians. However, future studies should explore attitudes of heterosexual older adults toward bisexual, transgender, and other queer-identified older adults using similar methods, and with equal rigor. This is particularly relevant for transgender older adults, who not only face transphobic attitudes but also have transgender-specific health concerns that should be carefully and respectfully addressed in such facilities (Blevins & Werth, 2006; Cook-Daniels, 2007).

Residents interviewed in this study were unable to identify ways that they or their peers could become more accepting of gay and lesbian residents in their facilities. However, existing research has shown positive health effects from social engagement between residents at long-term care and ALF residences, with enjoyment of mealtimes and perceived friendliness of fellow residents and staff being a significant predictor of life satisfaction for ALF residents (Park, 2009). In addition, a cohesive, supportive facility environment is associated with greater facility satisfaction and lower depression scores for residents (Mitchell & Kemp, 2000). Thus, whenever possible, increased awareness of potential challenges and advocacy for acceptance of sexual minorities could possibly benefit all residents. Further research should explore interventions, policies, and other factors that could create these conditions among residents in care facilities in order to develop a healthier environment for sexual minority residents.
Finally, researchers should explore the above research questions in other care settings for older adults, such as long-term care facilities, hospitals, and hospice organizations. In addition, not only residents or patients should be interviewed, but also staff and administrators in those institutions, as they constitute a major part of residents’ experiences within a facility.

The findings of the present study describe a range of reactions and attitudes of heterosexual ALF residents toward their gay and lesbian peers. Regardless of their attitudes, residents seemed mostly unaware of sexual minorities living among them, and believed that gay and lesbian people would be unwelcome in their facility. These findings become even more significant knowing that there were actually gay and lesbian residents living in that ALF during the time of the interviews. It is hoped that by using information from this and similar research explorations, conditions for all sexual minority older adults in ALF settings can be improved.
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**APPENDIX**

The following questions were used to explore the attitudes of heterosexual residents toward gay and lesbian peers:

- What is it like for you to talk about gay and lesbian people/homosexuality?
- Where does your reaction come from?
- What is your experience with gay and lesbian people?
- How much do your religious/spiritual beliefs play a part in your feelings about gay men and lesbians?
- What would it be like to live here with a resident who is a lesbian woman? A gay man?
- What would it be like for you if a same-sex couple (two men/women in a couple) lived in this facility?
- What would help you feel more comfortable with gays and lesbians living here in this facility?
- What might help others here become more comfortable?